	Application No. Applicant(s)
Interview Summary	10/046,176 HaseGAWA et al.
	Donghai D. Naugen 3729
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO p	
(1) Peter Vo	(3) John W. Fitzpatrick
Date of Interview 10/12/2004	(4)
Date of Interview 10/12/2004	
Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference c) ☑ Personal [copy is given to 1) ☐ applicant 2	2) applicant's representative]
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes	No. If yes, brief description:
Claim(s) discussed:	e
Identification of prior art discussed: Yaman	roto at al
Agreement with respect to the claims f)□ was reached. g)□ was not reached. h)□ N/A.	
in claim a Applicants tepres does not anticipate the claim	ature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or ord and the elaimed invention entative believes xamamoto elal invention The examiner je chins.
It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate	e record of the substance of the interview (if box is checked).
INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of the Interview.	section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has
Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.	Examiner's signature, if required